Indicators of Teaching Effectiveness AY 2019-2020

The Kansas Department of Education (KSDE) does not share any student or teacher data with EPPs. In order to meet CAEP Standard 4, Kansas State University-College of Education (KSU-COE) is in the process of conducting a longitudinal case study in which we will sample from all of our programs. This study will span over three academic years, starting in 2019. The data gathered from this research serves to demonstrate "through structured and validated observation instruments and student surveys, that completers effectively apply the professional knowledge, skills, and dispositions that the preparation experiences were designed to achieve" (CAEP 4.2).

As part of the case study, and as a means to assess our completers' effectiveness, we asked our participants to share their institutional summative evaluations. Respondents shared these evaluations through a Likert survey that included 12 items, aligned the *InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards* (2011) and to *Kansas Educator Evaluation Protocol* (KEEP) performance standards (see table 1). All KSU-COE programs are aligned to both InTASC and KEEP standards, which define key proficiencies that pre-service teachers are to exhibit, and that all teachers continue developing and refining throughout their careers. Additionally, most Kansas school districts follow the Kansas Department of Education (KSDE)'s KEEP Framework.

Of the 23 case study participants, 17 responded to our request for summative data with a response rate of 74% (see table 2). These participants represent 12 districts, of which 11 are in Kansas.

Our initial data suggests that a large majority of our completers (94% of respondents) scored Effective/High Effective on InTASC Standard 3/KEEP1.3: "work[ing] with others to create environments that support individual and collaborative learning and that encourage positive social interaction, active engagement in learning, and self-motivation." An area of growth for our completers is InTASC 4/KEEP 2.1, "creat[ing] learning experiences that make the discipline accessible and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the content," as 47% of respondents indicated scoring Effective/Highly Effective. Since this is a longitudinal study, we will collect data in years 2 and 3 in order to determine the mean change (by measure) for each cohort.

		InTASC	KEEP
Item		Standard	Standard
Number	Evaluation Measure:	Alignment	Alignment
1	Completers understand how learners grow and develop,	InTASC 1	KEEP 1.1
	recognizing that patterns of learning and development		
	vary individually within and across the cognitive,		
	linguistic, social, emotional, and physical areas.		
2	Completers use their understanding of Learner	InTASC 1	KEEP 1.1
	Development to design and implement developmentally		
	appropriate and challenging learning experiences.		
3	Completers use their understanding of individual	InTASC 2	KEEP 1.2
	differences and diverse cultures and communities to		
	ensure inclusive learning environments that enable each		
	learner to meet high standards.	TELGOO	
4	Completers work with others to create environments that	InTASC 3	KEEP 1.3
	support individual and collaborative learning, and that		
	encourage positive social interaction, active engagement		
5	in learning, and self-motivation. Completers understand the central concepts, tools of	InTASC 4	KEEP 2.1
5	inquiry, and structures of the discipline(s) they teach.	IIIIASC 4	KEEF 2.1
6	Completers use their understanding of Content	InTASC 4	KEEP 2.1
0	Knowledge from the previous statement (above) to create	minibe +	KLLI 2.1
	learning experiences that make the discipline accessible		
	and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the		
	content.		
7	Completers understand how to connect concepts and use	InTASC 5	KEEP 2.2
	differing perspectives to engage learners in critical		
	thinking, creativity, and collaborative problem solving		
	related to authentic local and global issues.		
8	Completers understand and use multiple methods of	InTASC 6	KEEP 3.2
	assessment to engage learners in their own growth, to		
	monitor learner progress, and to guide both my and my		
0	students' decision making.		KEED 2.1
9	Completers plan instruction that supports every student in	InTASC 7	KEEP 3.1
	meeting rigorous learning goals by drawing upon knowledge of content areas, curriculum, cross-		
	disciplinary skills, and pedagogy, as well as knowledge		
	of learners and the community context.		
10	Completers understand and use a variety of instructional	InTASC 8	KEEP 3.3
10	strategies to encourage learners to develop deep		5.5
	understanding of content areas and their connections, and		
	to build skills to apply knowledge in meaningful ways.		
11	Completers engage in ongoing professional learning and	InTASC 9	KEEP 4.1
	use evidence to continually evaluate my practice,		
	particularly the effects of my choices and actions on		

Table 1. Institutional Summative Evaluation Data Description and Alignment

	others (learners, families, other professionals, and the community), and adapt practice to meet the needs of each learner.		
12	Completers seek appropriate leadership roles and opportunities to take responsibility for student learning, to collaborate with learners, families, colleagues, other school professionals, and community members to ensure learner growth, and to advance the profession.	InTASC 10	KEEP 4.2

Table 2.	Institutional	Summative	Evaluation Data
----------	---------------	-----------	-----------------

Participant	Item	Item	Item	Item	Item	Item						
Number	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Α	2	3	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	3	2
В	3	3	3	4	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2
С	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
D	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	3
Е	3	3	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3
F	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3
G	3	3	2	3	4	3	4	3	3	4	3	3
Н	3	3	2	3	3	2	3	2	3	3	3	3
Ι	3	3	3	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	2
J	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	2	2
К	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	3
L	3	3	2	3	4	2	2	3	2	3	2	3
М	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Ν	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
0	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Р	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2
Q	Х	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	2	2
Group Average by Measure:	2.75	2.82	2.65	3.17	2.94	2.65	2.65	2.65	2.71	2.82	2.82	2.65
% of respondents who reported a score of 3 or better on a 4-point scale; by measure <i>Likert Scale: 1</i>	69	76	53	94	71	47	53 Highly	53	59	71	71	59

References

- InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards: A Resource for State Dialogue (Rep.). (2011). Retrieved April 7, 2019.
- Kansas State Department of Education. (2021). https://www.ksde.org/Agency/Division-of-Learning-Services/Teacher-Licensure-and-Accreditation/Educator-Evaluations/KEEP-Districts.